

890301

DATE: March 1, 1989

TO: Councilmember Ron Roberts

FROM: City Attorney

SUBJECT: Clarification of District Boundaries

You recently asked that we examine "the maps" and determine whether Seaport Village is within District Two or Eight.

While we have reviewed the map and the legal description attached to your memorandum, there is only one (1) relevant map. The boundary lines of the existing Council districts were done by Ordinance No. O-15370, which is a one (1) page ordinance with one (1) map attached and incorporated by reference. (Both attached for ease of reference as Exhibit A)

As you can see, Document No. OO-15370 delineates Council district boundaries and census tract boundaries. However, neither the ordinance nor the map utilizes legal boundary descriptions. Hence our focus is exclusively on the in-corporated map. Seaport Village lies wholly within census tract 54 (Thomas Brothers Maps Census Tract Edition, page 65, attached as Exhibit B). Document No. OO-15370 depicts census tract 54 to be wholly within Council District Eight.

To the extent maps other than Document No. OO-15370 show contrary boundary lines, they are wrong and the Clerk's Office acknowledges they were issued in error. Similarly, no legal description is or was part of Ordinance No. O-15370.

Therefore, based on Document No. OO-15370, we conclude that Seaport Village is wholly within Council District Eight and, by means of this memorandum, request that the Clerk reflect same on any maps issued showing district boundaries.

JOHN W. WITT, City Attorney

By

Ted Bromfield

Chief Deputy City Attorney

TB:mb:011

Attachments

cc Charles G. Abdelnour

City Clerk

ML-89-25